Modeling and Simulation of a New Duty-Cycle Modulation Scheme for Signal Transmission Systems

Leandre Nneme^{*}, Jean Mbihi

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, ENSET (University of Douala), Douala, Cameroon *Corresponding author: leandren@gmail.com

Received February 28, 2014; Revised March 11, 2014; Accepted April 03, 2014

Abstract This paper studies a new signal transmission scheme founded on duty-cycle modulation. The proposed signal transmission system consists of a low cost duty-cycle modulation circuit, a transmission line model and a linear demodulator. The study of the signal transmission system is conducted using analytical developments and virtual simulation models. Then, the results obtained under a variety of modulating waveforms including standard signals and arbitrary waveforms, show the high quality of the proposed duty-cycle modulation scheme for signal transmission systems.

Keywords: modeling, Duty-Cycle-Modulation, lossless transmission line, Low-Pass Filter, simulation

Cite This Article: Leandre Nneme Nneme, and Jean Mbihi, "Modeling and Simulation of a New Duty-Cycle Modulation Scheme for Signal Transmission Systems." *American Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 3 (2014): 82-87. doi: 10.12691/ajeee-2-3-4.

1. Introduction

The duty-cycle modulation (DCM) is a class of signal conversion techniques in which an input signal x is transformed into a periodic switching wave $x_m(t)$ with period $T_m(x)$, and duty-cycle $R_m(x) = T_{on}(x)/T_m(x)$, where $T_{on}(x)$ is the pulse width of $x_m(t)$. Generally speaking, $R_m(x)$ is a monotonous function of x since it should be possible to extract the modulating x from $R_m(x)$ using a simple demodulation circuit if any.

As reported in [1], although the general DCM principle is not new, over years many types of implementation techniques with specific characteristics have been built for application in a variety of application areas. In the pulse width modulation (PWM) technique where $T_m(x) = T_m$ is kept constant, a basic PWM circuit requires a triangle wave oscillator and a comparator [2], and a whole implementation requires three integrated minimal operational amplifiers and four passive components. In the sigma-delta modulation (SDM) policy where both $T_{on}(x)$ and T(x) depend on x, a standard SDM circuit outlined in [3] consists of a summing amplifier, an integrator, a controlled latch, a feedback mechanism, a separate clock source, and more. Furthermore, a few novel DCM configurations are encountered in the space of modern patents ([4,5]). In the patent [4], the novel DCM circuit presented structurally behaves as a closed loop system, consisting of a controlled Schmitt trigger and a summing integrator, in which case a whole realization requires at least two integrated circuits and seven passive elements. Whereas in the patent [5], the new modulation circuit presented consists of a number of building blocks organized according to a feedback configuration, including a summing amplifier, an accumulator, a binary comparator, a delay circuit, a ROM device and more.

Surprisingly, the hardware architecture of existing DCM schemes are notoriously complicated. Unfortunately, according to our best knowledge, a clear proof of the significant profit arising from the structural complexity of the modulation circuit at the expense of its simplicity, appears to be missing in the DCM literature.

Thus, the active need of DCM schemes providing both structural simplicity and high quality, has motivated the development of a class of low cost DCM circuits presented in [6], consisting of the use of a single integrated operational circuit and a few passive components. Following [6], further research works have successfully developed low cost DCM schemes for a variety of application areas in industrial electronics ([7,8,9]). In [7], a novel analog-todigital conversion (ADC) technique is designed and well tested, and its multichannel model is also implemented in [8]. In [9], a novel digital-to-analog conversion (DAC) technique using low cost duty-cycle modulation, is designed and successfully implemented. Furthermore, it is shown in [10] that a duty-cycle modulation (DCM) driver for a buck power converter offers best characteristics compared to that of a traditional pulse width modulation (PWM). However, the literature review related to the application of low cost DCM schemes presented above, is mainly limited to instrumentation and industrial electronics engineering.

The new application area of the low cost DCM scheme studied in this paper, is a signal transmission system. The architecture and analytical models of the transmission system are presented in depth in Section 2. Then, Matlab/Simulink model and the simulated results obtained when simulating a prototyping transmission system, are presented in Section 3, whereas the conclusion of the paper is outlined in Section 4.

2. Architecture and Analytical Models of DCM-Based Signal Transmission System

The architecture of the proposed DCM-based signal transmission system is presented in Fig.1, where its main subsystems are numbered from 1 to 4. It consists of a modulating signal source (N°1), a simple DCM circuit (N°2), a transmission line (N°3) and a demodulation filter (N°4).



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the signal transmission system

The modulating signal source (N°1) might be any testing instrument with a variety of selectable output signals including standard waveforms and white noises.

The simple DCM circuit (N°2) behaves as a controlled oscillator with embedded clock. For a modulating x(t), the Fourier series of the resulting periodic modulated wave could be computed at a given time *t* as follows [10]:

$$x_{m}(t) = \underbrace{\left(2 R_{m}(x(t)) - 1\right) E}_{Low \ frequency} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{4 E}{\pi}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{\sin\left(n\pi R_{m}(x(t))\right)}{n}}{\cos\left(2 \pi n \frac{t}{T_{m}(x(t))}\right)}\right)}_{High \ frequencie \ s}$$
(1)

where $R_{\rm m}(x)$ could be described in its linear range by the following relation ship :

$$R_m(x(t)) = \frac{T_{on}(x(t))}{T(x(t))} = p_m x(t) + \frac{1}{2}$$
(2)

with,

$$p_m = \frac{\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{E(1 - \alpha_1^2)}}{\log\left(\frac{1 + \alpha_1}{1 - \alpha_1}\right)}, \ \alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2}$$
(3)

Thus, Equation (1) given (2) shows that the modulating signal x(t) could be recovered from (1) using a suitable low-pass filter with static gain K_f defined as follows:

$$K_f = \frac{1}{2 p_m E} \tag{4}$$

Unfortunately, in the DCM-based signal transmission system considered in this paper, there is a long transmission line between the remote DCM source and the demodulation process, might have significant impacts on the quality of the overall DCM scheme.

The parameters of the transmission line (N°3) between the DCM source and the demodulation filter are defined as follows: *d* (length), *L* (inductance per unit length) and *C* (capacitance per unit length). Assuming that the line is lossless, then the signal $x_m(z, t)$ propagating along the line at distance *z* from the origin z = 0 could be as the following Telegraph's Equations [11]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial x_m(z,t)}{\partial z} = -L \frac{\partial i_m(z,t)}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial i_m(z,t)}{\partial z} = -C \frac{\partial x_m(z,t)}{\partial t} \end{cases}$$
(5)

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial^2 x_m(z,t)}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}\right)^2} \frac{\partial^2 x_m(z,t)}{\partial t^2} = 0$$
(6)

Then, the general solution of Equation (6) at the end of the line where z = d is given as follows [12]:

$$x_{m}(d,t) = \underbrace{x_{m}^{+}(t - \sqrt{LC} \ d)}_{Forward - travelling \ wave} \underbrace{u(t - \sqrt{LC} \ d)}_{Step \ with (-) \ delay}$$

$$+ \underbrace{x_{m}^{-}(t + \sqrt{LC} \ d)}_{Backword - travelling \ wave} \underbrace{u(t + \sqrt{LC} \ d)}_{U(t + \sqrt{LC} \ d)}$$

$$(7)$$

As a conclusion at time *t*, the modulated 1-bit signal $x_m(d,t)$ available for filtering at the end of the lossless transmission line with length *d*, behaves as a delayed image of $x_m(t) = x_m(0,t)$.

The demodulation unit (N°3) is a standard second order active filter, with transfer function given as follows :

$$F(s) = \frac{X(s)}{X_m(s)}$$

$$= \frac{K_f}{R_3 R_4 C_2 C_3 \ s^2 + \left(R_3 C_2 + R_4 C_2 + (1 - K_f) \ R_3 C_3\right) s + 1}$$
(8)

where $K_f = 1 + R6/R5$ (static gain). As an implication, from Equation (4, the following constraint should be satisfied :

$$\frac{1}{2 p_m E} = 1 + \frac{R_6}{R_5} \tag{9}$$

For the sake of simplicity, it is easy to show that Equation (8) could be written as follows:

$$F_{c}(s) = \frac{Y_{f}(s)}{X_{M}(s)} = \frac{K_{f} \omega_{n}^{2}}{s^{2} + 2\xi \omega_{n} s + \omega_{n}^{2}}$$
(10)

In which case, ω_n is the natural frequency (in rad/s), and ξ being the damping coefficient. In addition, for a given cut off frequency f_c (in Hz), the related natural frequency could be computed using the following formula [13]:

$$\omega_n = \frac{2 \pi f_c}{\sqrt{1 - 2\xi^2 + \sqrt{4\xi^4 - 4\xi^2 + 2}}}$$
(11)

Equations (1)-(11) stands for a complete set of analytical characteristics of the proposed DCM-based signal transmission system.

3. Matlab/Simulink Model and Simulation Results of the DCM Transmission System

3.1. MatLab/Simulink Model

In engineering, the use of Matlab/Simulink as a suitable framework for rapid simulation of dynamic systems ([14,15,16]). Following this common practice, the complete Matlab/Simulink model of the DCM-based transmission system is presented in Figure 2, where the subsystems are numbered according to the same order used in the general DCM architecture shown earlier in Figure 1.

The remote modulating subsystem (N°1) consists of a low frequency virtual instrument with selectable standard waveforms (sine, square, saw teeth) and a chirp signal generator. The readers interested to signal generation algorithms for standard signals and arbitrary waveforms including wavelets, can find more details in ([17,18,19]). The selection of signals is conducted using a couple of bus create/select objects. Then, the DCM subsystem is built using the Kirchhoff law applied to the behavior of the modulation circuit observed on Figure 1. These equations are given as follows:

$$u^{+}(t) = \alpha_1 x_m(t) + \alpha_2 x(t)$$
 (12)

$$u^{-}(t) = u_{C1}(t) \tag{13}$$

$$e(t) = u^{+}(t) - u^{-}(t)$$
(14)

$$x_m(t) = RC_1 \frac{du_{C1}(t)}{dt} + u_{C1}(t) = \begin{cases} E \text{ if } e(t) \ge 0\\ -E \text{ if } e(t) > 0 \end{cases}$$
(15)

From (4), it is obvious to write an equivalent integral,

$$u_{C1}(t) = \frac{1}{RC_1} \int_0^t \left(x_m(\tau) - u_{C1}(\tau) \right) d\tau$$
(16)

As an implication, a set of nine basic operators available in Simulink toolbox are sufficient for building a complete model of the proposed DCM process.

The lossless transfer line (N°3) is available in Simulink toolbox, and the critical parameter to be specified by the user, is the propagation time delay along the transmission line. It corresponds in Equation (14) to the factor $t_d = \sqrt{LC} d$. Finally, the model of the demodulation low-pass filter has been implemented with ease using the transfer function objet available in Simulink tool box.



Figure 2. Simulink models of the DCM transmission system

3.2. Simulation Results

The main data used for numerical simulation of the prototyping transmission, is summarized in Table 1.





Figure 3. Transmission of a sine wave (Ideal transmission line)

For the sake of clarity, the simulation results presented in this Section are organized into set of *m* coupled figures (Fig. *m*, Fig. m+1) for m = 2, 3, ..., 9. In each case, Fig. *m* reports the behavior of the DCM scheme assuming that the transmission line is ideal, whereas Fig. *m*+1 stands for the case where the transmission line is assumed lossless under the same modulating input.

As shown from (Figure 5, Figure 6) to (Figure 9, Figure 10) related to the transmission of a variety of waveforms including an arbitrary chirp wave, it is important to observe that, the transmission result $\tilde{x}(t)$ obtained under the assumption of ideal transmission line, is almost perfect since the overall output $\tilde{x}(t)$ appears to be an exact copy of the modulating input x(t). Furthermore, in all cases where the dynamic model of the lossless transmission line is taken into account, the resulting wave $\tilde{x}(t)$ obtained is quite closed to x(t). However, a narrow gap observed between the two, is due to the fact that Simulink model of

a lossless transmission line is computed from a given time delay T_d using a [n/m] Padé approximant [20]. As an implication, the model of the lossless transmission line behaves as an additional rational transfer function (characterized by *m* zeros and *n* poles), placed in series upstream the demodulation filter. These findings emerging from the simulation results lead to conclusion of this paper.



Figure 4. Transmission of a sine wave (Lossless transmission line)



Figure 5. Transmission of a square wave (Ideal transmission line)



Figure 6. Transmission of a square wave (Lossless transmission line)



Figure 7. Transmission of a saw teeth wave (Ideal transmission line)



Figure 8. Transmission of a saw teeth wave (Lossless transmission line)



Figure 9. Transmission of a chirp signal (Lossless transmission line)



Figure 10. Transmission of a chirp signal (Lossless transmission line)

4. Conclusion

The analytical developments and the related simulation results presented in this paper, shows the high quality of the proposed DCM scheme for signal transmission systems. Although the simulation has been conducted for low frequency modulating waves, a higher modulating frequency bandwidth might be covered for an optimal choice of the design parameters associated with both the duty-cycle modulator and the low-pass filter. In addition, it would be interesting to study the behavior of the proposed DCM scheme under a more realistic transmission line with losses. Furthermore, it would be also a challenge to build a real prototyping DCM system, in order to show the realistic nature of the proposed signal transmission scheme. These unsolved problems appear to be good opportunities for future research works.

References

- Roza, E. "Analog-to-digital conversion via duty-cycle modulation", IEEE transactions on circuits and systems II: Analog and digital signal processing, 44 (11) (1997), pp. 907-914.
- [2] Millman, I., Grabel, A. Micro Electro, ics, 2nd Edition, 10001 pages, 1987, © Mc Graw Hill.
- [3] Sangil Park, Motorola digital signal processors Principles of sigma-delta modulation for analog-digital (February, 2010), http://digitalsignallabs.com/SigmaDelta.pdf, pp 1.1-9.6.
- [4] Harvey, P., C. Transmitting a signal using duty-cycle modulation, United State Patent N° 6 111 680, August 29, 2000.
- [6] Mbihi, J., Ndjali Beng, F., Mbouenda, M., Modeling and simulation of a class of duty-cycle modulators for industrial instrumentation, Iranian Journal of Electrical and Computer engineering, 4 (2)2 (2005) pp. 121-128
- [7] Mbihi, J., Ndjali Beng, F., Kom, M., and Nneme Nneme, L. A novel analog-to-digital conversion technique using nonlinear duty-cycle modulation, International Journal of electronics and computer science engineering, 1(3), 2012, pp. 818-825.
- [8] Mbihi, J., Nneme Nneme, L. A multichannel analog-to-digital conversion technique using parallel duty-cycle modulation, 1(3), 2012, pp. 826-833.
- [9] Moffo Lonla, B., Mbihi, J., Nneme Nneme, L. and Kom, M. A novel digital-to-analog conversion technique using Duty-cycle modulation, International Journal of circuits, systems and signal processing, 1(7) 2013, pp. 47-49.
- [10] Mbihi, J., Nneme Nneme, L. A novel control scheme for buck power converters using duty-cycle modulation, International Journal of power electronics, 5(3), 2013, pp. 185-199.
- [11] Nitsch, J., Tkachenko, S. *Telegrapher equations for arbitrary frequencies and modes*: Radiation of an infinite, lossless transmission line, Radio Science, 30(2), 2004.
- [12] Engineering Electromagnetics, 4th edition by William Hayt, 1981. Chapter 12, section 1, "The Transmission Line Equations".
- [13] OGATA, K. "Modern control engineering Second Edition", pp 526-528, prentice hall, 1990.
- [14] Tilak Thakur, and Kumar Gupta S., Modeling of a Stand-Alone Induction Generator on Load Using MATLAB Simulink, *Journal* of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2012, pp. 729-733.
- [15] A. Aldair A. A., Hardware Implementation of the Neural Network Predictive Controller for Coupled Tank System, *American Journal* of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. 2014, 2(2), pp. 40-47.
- [16] Karthikeyan, V., Vijayalakshmi, V.J. and Jeyakumar P., Selective Harmonic Elimination (SHE) for 3-Phase Voltage Source Inverter (VSI), American Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. 2014, 2(1), pp. 17-20.
- [17] Hung-Chen, C. Square/Triangular wave generator using Single DVCC and three grounded passive components, *American Journal* of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 1(2) 2013, pp. 32-36.
- [18] Mbihi, J. and Motto, A. Instrumentation virtuelle assistée par ordinateur - Principes et techniques – Cours et exercice, 240 p., Ocober 2012, © Ellipse, Paris.
- [19] J. Mbihi, J., Tene, N. and Takoufet, K., Etude et prototypage d'un générateur de signaux arbitraires à vocation didactique, Journal sur l'Enseignement des Sciences et des Technologies de l'Information et des Systèmes, Vol 9, N°2, 2010, © EDP Sciences
- [20] Baker, G. A., and Graves-Morris, P., *Padé Approximants*, second Edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, March 11, 2010.